

Scoring Matrix. Ref:

| Description | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Was the application received by the deadline? | | |
| Are there at least 3 young people in the applicant group? | | |
| Has the application been completed by a young person? | | |
| If a leader has applied has a reason been given? | N/A | |
| Are the young people applying within the youth service age range of 4-25? | | |
| Have project ideas come from the young people applying? Any additional evidence supplied? | | |
| Are the dates for the project within the timeframe of the SGP? Ends on or by 31 st March 2026 | | |
| Have all sections of the form been completed? | | |
| Is there sufficient information to score the application? | | |
| Is the project, and/or its budget, eligible under the SGP guidance? | | |
| Does the project require more money than the amount available through SGP? | | |
| Is the Youth Organisation an EA youth service registered/funded group? | | |
| Are the signatures appropriately completed (Youth organisation, young person)? | | |

Scoring Framework

Question 1: Project Impact - Is the project needed and what impact will it have?

| Descriptors | Score | Total Score |
|----------------------------|---|-------------|
| Excellent | Fully describes the local need the project will address with statistics included and the impact it will have. DESIREABLE CRITERIA- will increase diversity and partnership working. | 9-10 |
| Good | Good description about the local need the project will address with statistics included and the impact it will have. | 6-8 |
| Meets Requirements | Minimal description about the local need the project will address and the impact it will have. | 3-5 |
| Fails to meet requirements | No real description about the local need that the project will address and the impact it will have. | 0-2 |
| Panel comments: | | |

Question 2: Project Outline- Outline of sessions or event in more detail and how it fits into the themes of the Small Grants Programme.

| Descriptors | | Score | Total Score |
|----------------------------|--|-------|-------------|
| Excellent | Excellent outline of sessions given and fully addresses how sessions will help accomplish their goals. | 9-10 | |
| Good | Good outline of sessions given and starts to address how sessions will help accomplish their goals. | 6-8 | |
| Meets Requirements | Minimal outline of sessions given but doesn't address how sessions will help accomplish their goals. | 3-5 | |
| Fails to meet requirements | No real outline of sessions given and doesn't address how sessions will help accomplish their goals. | 0-2 | |
| Panel comments: | | | |

Question 3: Project Rationale- What will be achieved from the programme.

| Descriptors | | Score | Total Score |
|----------------------------|--|-------|-------------|
| Excellent | Excellent description of the aim and outcomes of the project and how it will fit into the themes of SGP. DESIREABLE CRITERIA- longevity of the project e.g. group to continue afterwards or the lasting impact on community. | 9-10 | |
| Good | Good description of the aim and outcomes of the project and how it will fit into the themes of SGP. | 6-8 | |
| Meets Requirements | Minimal description of the aim and outcomes of the project and how it will fit into the themes of SGP. | 3-5 | |
| Fails to meet requirements | No real description of the aim and outcomes of the project and how it will fit into the themes of SGP. | 0-2 | |
| Panel Comments: | | | |

Question 4: Value for Money- How the project is good value for money.

| Descriptors | | Score | Total Score |
|----------------------------|---|-------|-------------|
| Excellent | There is excellent value for young people against the amount of funds applied for. The group have considered how best to use their funds and have even looked for additional funding elsewhere. | 9-10 | |
| Good | There is good value for young people against the amount of funds applied for. | 6-8 | |
| Meets Requirements | There is reasonable/adequate value for young people against the amount of funds applied for. | 3-5 | |
| Fails to meet requirements | There is only little value for young people against the amount of funds applied for. | 0-2 | |
| Panel Comments: | | | |

Question 5: Project Costs- How much is the project going to cost and how much will you need from Small Grants.

| Descriptors | | Score | Total Score |
|----------------------------|---|-------|-------------|
| Excellent | The details provided clear and consistent with the activities/services described and within the amount available in the SGP. | 9-10 | |
| Good | The detail provided is good and fits in with the activities/services described and within the amount available in the SGP. | 6-8 | |
| Meets Requirements | The detail provided is adequate with the items requested largely relevant to the activities/services described and within the amount available in the SGP. | 3-5 | |
| Fails to meet requirements | The information provided is limited, for example, lacking detail and/or clarity but with minimal relevance to the activities/services described and within the amount available in the SGP. | 0-2 | |
| Panel Comments: | | | |

| | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Panel Comments: | Total Score (Out of 50) | |
| | Fund/Decline | |

Signature of Panel Member: _____ Date: _____

Signature of Youth Worker/Officer: _____ Date: _____